

國立中興大學獸醫學系 學年度第 學期專(兼)任教師新聘
 講師級(具碩士學位)評審表 擬聘教師姓名：

項目	配分	評審標準	評分	總分
碩士論文 或 代表著作	70	依其碩士論文或送審前五年內之代表著作評分。		
研究論文	30	依發表之研究論文或學術論著三篇 <u>以上</u> 或刊登於該學術領域列名 SCI 期刊一篇 <u>以上</u> 評分。		

備註：

1. 總分 70 分(含)以上為通過，參加表決委員三分之二(含)以上評定通過者，推薦至本院教評會。
2. 評定不通過(低於 70 分)或 90 分以上，須敘明具體理由。
3. 各項評分如超過該項目配分時，以該項目配分計算。
4. 各項分數如有小數點，四捨五入取至整數。
5. 未評分者，視為同意該項以配分之 70% 計算。

評定不通過(低於 70 分)或 90 分以上之具體理由：

註 1：新聘講師及助理教授級之代表論文可為學位論文或發表於 WOS 論文期刊，以其所屬領域之排名百分比前 60% 或 IF 值 2 以上之著作為最低標準。

註 2：排名百分比及 IF 值之認定以送審當時 WOS 論文資料庫最新公告之數值為基準，亦得使用該論文被接受時公告之數值。(由申請人提供佐證資料)

國立中興大學獸醫學系 學年度第 學期專(兼)任教師新聘
講師級(具博士學位)評審表 擬聘教師姓名：

項目	配分	評審標準	評分
博士論文	100	依其博士論文評分。	
備註： 1. 總分 70 分(含)以上為通過，參加表決委員三分之二(含)以上評定通過者，推薦至本院教評會。 2. 評定不通過(低於 70 分)或 90 分以上，須敘明具體理由。 3. 各項評分如超過該項目配分時，以該項目配分計算。 4. 各項分數如有小數點，四捨五入取至整數。 5. 未評分者，視為同意該項以配分之 70% 計算。			

評定不通過(低於 70 分)或 90 分以上之具體理由：

註 1：新聘講師及助理教授級之代表論文可為學位論文或發表於 WOS 論文期刊，以其所屬領域之排名百分比前 60% 或 IF 值 2 以上之著作為最低標準。

註 2：排名百分比及 IF 值之認定以送審當時 WOS 論文資料庫最新公告之數值為基準，亦得使用該論文被接受時公告之數值。(由申請人提供佐證資料)

英文譯本僅供參考，文義如與中文版有歧異，概以中文版為準。 The English version is provided for reference only. In case of any discrepancies between the English and Chinese versions, the Chinese version shall prevail.

Department of Veterinary Medicine , College of Veterinary Medicine, National Chung Hsing University
_____ Academic Year _____ School Term Full-Time (Adjunct) Faculty Appointment Evaluation Form

Instructor (with Master’s degree)-Level

Name of Proposed Faculty: _____

Items	Scoring	Review Standards	Score	Total Score
Master's Thesis or Representative Publication	70	For those with a Master's degree, their Master's dissertation or the representative publication within the five years prior to submission, after obtaining the qualification for the previous level of teaching position.		
Reference Papers	30	At least three reference papers (including the doctoral dissertation) or one paper published in an SCI-indexed journal in the relevant academic field		

Notes:

1. A total score of 70 points (inclusive) or above is considered passing. Those who pass the evaluation by more than two-thirds (inclusive) of the voting committee members will be recommended to College Faculty Evaluation Committee.
2. If assessment fail or above 90 points must be provided specific reasons.
3. If each score exceeds the allocated points for the project, the score will be calculated based on the allocated points for the project.
4. Scores with decimal points should be rounded to an integer.
5. Those who are not scored will be deemed to agree to the item and calculate the score at 70% of the assigned points.

Specific reasons for failure (below 70 points) or above 90 points:

Note 1: For newly appointed Instructor and assistant professor, the representative paper may be a dissertation or a publication in a WOS-indexed journal. A ranking in the top 60% of the field or an impact factor (IF) of 2 or higher is the minimum requirement

Note 2: The ranking percentage and impact factor (IF) will be based on the latest values published in the WOS database at the time of submission for review. Alternatively, the values published at the time the paper was accepted may also be used (supporting documentation must be provided by the applicant).

英文譯本僅供參考，文義如與中文版有歧異，概以中文版為準。 The English version is provided for reference only. In case of any discrepancies between the English and Chinese versions, the Chinese version shall prevail.

Department of Veterinary Medicine , College of Veterinary Medicine, National Chung Hsing University
_____ Academic Year _____ School Term Full-Time (Adjunct) Faculty Appointment Evaluation Form

Instructor (with Doctor's degree)-Level

Name of Proposed Faculty: _____

Items	Scoring	Review Standards	Score	Total Score
Doctoral Thesis	100	Scores be based on Doctoral Thesis.		
Notes:				
1. A total score of 70 points (inclusive) or above is considered passing. Those who pass the evaluation by more than two-thirds (inclusive) of the voting committee members will be recommended to College Faculty Evaluation Committee. 2. If assessment fail or above 90 points must be provided specific reasons. 3. If each score exceeds the allocated points for the project, the score will be calculated based on the allocated points for the project. 4. Scores with decimal points should be rounded to an integer. 5. Those who are not scored will be deemed to agree to the item and calculate the score at 70% of the assigned points.				
Specific reasons for failure (below 70 points) or above 90 points:				

Note 1: For newly appointed Instructor and assistant professor, the representative paper may be a dissertation or a publication in a WOS-indexed journal. A ranking in the top 60% of the field or an impact factor (IF) of 2 or higher is the minimum requirement

Note 2: The ranking percentage and impact factor (IF) will be based on the latest values published in the WOS database at the time of submission for review. Alternatively, the values published at the time the paper was accepted may also be used (supporting documentation must be provided by the applicant).